PERFORMANCE HANDICAP RACING FLEET OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA <u>Regional Board Meeting Agenda</u>

Tuesday, May 17th – 6 PM at LBYC

In Attendance: Louis Holmes, Steven Ernest, Jerry Montgomery, Rick von Heydenreich Eric Schlageter, Rick Gorman, Dan Rossen, Robert Plant, Chris Hemans, Bill Quealy, Mike Riddle,

Meeting called to order at 6:04PM

Approval of minutes from the April 19, 2011 meeting - Minutes were approved.

Discussion about how to communicate decisions made during rating reviews to members. No formal decision was made to address this.

New Business:

70's Fleet Review: continued from last month - No new info. No Update-Tabled.

FARR 40 Review: FARR40-2's and one designs, allegations of changes made to the one design rules without notifying PHRF SO CAL. Last month the PHRF President wrote letter to David Voss the President of the West Coast Farr 40 one design organization asking for clarification on their rules. The letter to David Voss was sent asking for a clarification of the rules changes. Because there was no response, we will use our understanding of their rules as the class rules.

TRAPEZE Rules change: — Last month Robert Plant & the PHRF Judge Advocate were asked to provide wording for a possible rules change allowing certain one design boats to race with trapezes. In the discussion the board concluded that trapeze devices may only be used for a Category 4 race and only when the racing rules allow it. A board member asked/noted that the rules might not need to be added to, because, there is nothing in our rules that say trapezes are not allowed in Category 4 races. There was a motion that the verbiage drafted by the Judge Advocate be added to our class rule 3.5. The motion was seconded and passed.

Rule 3.5: "Unless otherwise requested in writing by the PHRF member, a One-Design type boat shall be rated with the standard PHRF configuration (See Section 7). When a boat is rated with the One-Design configuration, it will be noted in the Remarks section of the rating certificate and put into the Manufacturers List in the data base. When racing in its One-Design configuration, the boat shall comply with all its One-Design class rules (including sail buttons, crew weight, etc.) One-Design classes utilizing trapezes whose class rules allow such a device may use them, but it must be specifically stated on the application for rating. Trapeze devices may only be used in category 4 races. Any modification to the One-Design class rules which might modify the boat's PHRF One-Design rating shall be furnished to the PHRF Regional Board immediately after the rule change becomes effective."

Old Business:

Fast 40 Fleet Review Report – Rick Gorman (Chairman Area C Board) Tabled from last month.

President's Report:

The owner of Old School has requested to have his disciplinary hearing reopened. The hearing committee will have to make the decision to hear it.

Vice President's Report:

PHRF CHAMPS: August 6-7 will be hosted by SLBYC. We have a draft of the notice of race that states entry is \$35 before July 5th and \$50 afterwards. We do not have sponsors for bags and jackets. There will be take home and perpetual trophies. The NOR says that trophies will be presented after the last race. This is when the take home trophies are presented.

It was suggested that with the renewals this year we send a form to members about their boat and how the other boats that race against them should rate. He would like to use this data to come up with a better way to rate the boats as a group.

Data Systems Report: No Report

Area Reports: A-I

A: No meeting

B: No Representative Present

C: No Meeting

D: No Meeting

E-F: No Meeting

G: Rated 5 new boats. One rating review: MOSH PIT, First reading +6 for all ratings.

I: No Representative Present

Chief Handicapper's Report:

- 1. HARBOR 25's Rating Review Requested by Chief Handicapper Tabled until next month
- 2. SLEEPER, s/n 56284 (Jeanneau44) Ron Simonson Rating review 2^{nd} Tabled until next Month Last month the board voted to re-rate SLEEPER from 90/84/84 to 81/78/78.
- 3. FORGIVENESS, s/n 56192, (J124) Joe Simpkins Rating Review 2^{nd} Reading Last month the board voted a /+3/ rating increase from /39/39/39 to /42/42/42/. Motion made and seconded for /42/42/42/. Motion Passed
- 4. DOS AMIGOS DOS, s/n 46966, (C&C110) Robert Hubbard Rating Review 2^{nd} Reading tabled until the June meeting at owner's request. Last month the board voted a /+3/. Rating increase for this boat from /81/78/72 to /84/81/78/.
- 5. KATANA, s/n 60206, (Kernan47) Laura Schlessinger Modification

Last month the board voted an initial rating of /-84/-90/-96/ to rate KATANA. Kevin Miller is reporting removing 440 lbs from the bulb.

Kevin Miller asked for a rating that is competitive. The boat is very well prepared. For the Border run the boat was almost ready and in the most recent race the boat was dialed in. He feels the boat should be rated /-60/-69/-69/. Before the latest race (Hardway) they had the weight removed. The boat is similar to a TP52 in that they have the same sail area, but a shorter waterline (47'). The boat has done 2 races in two different configurations. A motion was made for/-75/-81/-87/ which was seconded. Motion failed. Another motion was proposed for/-78/-84/-90/ which was seconded. The motion passed.

6. JAZZ, s/n 69250, (BEN40) Mark Itnyre – Request for Rating Review - <u>First Reading</u> The owner says there was an error in the recording of the luff measurement and therefore his boat was rated incorrectly.

Steve Steiner: new sail, full interior, heavy motor. Gator is faster; 109's are faster up and down. In the fleet they are in, they seem to go as fast, but they are rated at 72. Steve feels that even if they sail a perfect race they finish 6^{th} . There is a sister ship, MOONRAKER, in San Diego with a taller rig that rates 72. Plus

MOONRAKER is more tricked out. There was a motion for /69/72/72 that was seconded. Motion carries. The luff measurement has been corrected on the certificate.

- 7. NAOS 2, s/n FRA40 (BENF40) Charles Devanneaux Request for Rating Review <u>First Reading</u> Charles Devanneaux & Bill Peterson Bill started off by asking for favorable new boat ratings. He sells them. He feels the rating difference between the 40.7 and the 40 don't make sense. There was a rating change done in 2/11 because of an oversize spinnaker and bowsprit that was added. They feel the boat should re-rated to like boats. There was a motion for /+3/ rating adjustment, from /27/24/24/ to /30/ 27/ 27/ which was seconded. The motion passed.
- 8. CORTESAN, s/n 56829 (Jnnu32SO) Cary Griffith Initial Rating Tabled because of data discrepancy.
- 9. BRAVURA, s/n 46565 (FARR44) Paul Katz Request for Rating Review <u>First Reading</u> Paul Katz addressed the board stating that 4 years ago and they were doing a follow-up on the fast 40 committee. They have been racing the boat for 5 years. He presented a table from Farr Yachts that provided design performance data. They are currently rated at /33/ and think they should be /46/. A motion was made for the oversize pole rating to be /30/ 30/, but leaving the standard pole rating the same. The motion was seconded and carried.
- 10. OLD SCHOOL, s/n's USA291 & USA 291A (TAR10) Pete Woodworth & Terry Hanna Are requesting to talk to the board regarding the disciplinary action taken last month. Request moved to the disciplinary committee.
- 11. INVICITUS, s/n GBR-30 (BEN30F) Charles Devanneaux Initial Rating Postponed until June Meeting
- 12. DECOLAGE, s/n 56461 (Pogo40) Brad Bolger & Bernard Gantes Request for Rating Review <u>First Reading</u> Brad Bolger asked for a /-3/-9/-12/ from /-30/-33/-48/ adding that the boat is built without exotic materials. They would like a review based on performance and design. They perform slightly better than a Farr 50 and worse than a SC52. They feel they still need to develop their own skills. They aren't that much different from the other Class 40s which are more favorably rated. They are heavier than the new boats and the new boats can carry a masthead genoa. They have heads, sinks and bunks. The 2nd generations Class 40s are not as well equipped. There is a motion for /0/-15/-21/ the motion was seconded and passed.

The meeting was adjourned and the next PHRF Regional meeting will be June 21^{st} at the Long Beach Yacht Club